

Molecular orbital analysis of the halogen dependence of nuclear magnetic shielding in PX₃ and POX₃ (X = F, Cl, Br, I)

Marcelo Mota Reginato (PG) and Lucas Colucci Ducati (PQ)

Department of Fundamental Chemistry Institute of Chemistry, University of São Paulo, Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes 748, São Paulo, SP 05508-000, Brazil

Abstract: Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy analyzes the magnetic properties of an active nucleus for physical and chemical determination. Important information about chemical bonding and molecular structure are provided by chemical shifts (δ) and spin-spin coupling parameters.[1,2] The chemical shift (δ) trends for halogen (X) in different nuclei (125Te, 13C, 139La, 31P, etc.) have been investigated experimentally and theoretically.[2] Chemical shift behaves quite differently among some isotopes, i.e., for ¹³C (CX₄) it decreases strongly along the series (X=F, Cl, Br, I), namely normal halogen dependence (NHD); on the other hand, ¹³⁹La (LaX₃) chemical shift increases strongly, showing an inverse halogen dependence (IHD).[3-5] PX₃ series shows an IHD while its oxide form, POX₃, presents NHD. The halogen dependence on ³¹P was investigated by density functional theory (DFT) calculations and natural localized molecular orbitals (NLMO)[6] using the Amsterdam density functional (ADF, v. 2014) software. Geometry optimization and ³¹P NMR shielding tensor calculations were performed using PBE0 hybrid functional and TZ2P basis set.[7-9] The shielding tensors were decomposed into paramagnetic (σ_{para}), diamagnetic (σ_{dia}) and spin-orbit (σ_{so}) contributions and their principal components were obtained. Only σ_{para} and σ_{so} contributions change along the series, in which the magnitude of σ_{para} component is always larger than the σ_{so} . The negative σ_{para} tensor has the largest changes in comparison with the positive σ_{so} one, explaining IDH for PX₃ series. The σ_{para} decomposition component showed that oP-X and LP-P NLMOs have the largest contribution. These two orbitals correspond to about 70% of the σ_{para} total value. For the POX₃ series, the positive σ_{so} tensor has the largest variation, leading to NDH for these oxide phosphines. The σ P-X, σ P-O, CR₂-P, σ *P-X and σ *P-O NLMOs have the largest contribution to σ_{so} tensor. The σ_{so} tensor increase may be understood as interaction between the SO coupling of the heavy atom (HA) and the spin-dependent fermi contact (FC) and spin-dipolar (SD) mechanisms. The induced spin polarization can be transmitted through a covalent bond from an HA (halide) to an LA (neighboring phosphorus) nucleus, resembling the transmission mechanism of the FC term in indirect spin–spin coupling constants (FC/FC mechanism).[10,11] The *s* and *p* character of the σ P-X bond influences the components σ_{para} and σ_{so} . The PX₃ series shows an increase of *p*-character and subsequent decrease of *s*-character in both P and X atoms of the σ P-X bond, whereas in the POX₃ series the *s*- and *p*-character are almost constant, with values of 40 and 60% respectively. This result may be one evidence among others that NDH and IDH effects are associated mainly with *s*- and *p*-character, respectively.



Key-words: DFT, NMR, shielding tensor, localized molecular orbitals **Support**: This work has been supported by FAFESP and CAPES. **References**:

[1] M. Kaupp M. Buhl, V. G. Malkin, "Calculation of NMR and EPR Parameters, Theory and Aplications" (2004) Wiley-VCH, Darmstadt.

[2] P. Tahtinen, A. Bagno, A. Koch, K. Pihlaja, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1, 4921, (2004).

[3] M. Kaupp, O. L. Malkina, P. Pyykkö, Chem. Eur. J 4, 118-126, (1998).

[4] Y. Nomura, Y. Takeuchi, N. Nakagawa, Tetrahedron Lett. 8, 639-642, (1969).

[5] I. Morishima, K. Endo, T. Yonezawa, Chem. Phys. 59, -3356-3364, (1973).

[6] A. Reed, F. Weinhold, J. Chem. Phys. 83, 4, 1736-1740, (1985).

[7] E. J. Baerends, T. Ziegler, J. Autschbach, et. al. Amsterdam Density Functional (ADF), SCM, Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands (2014) URL *http://www.scm.com*

[8] J. P. Perdew, Phys Rev, B, 33, 8822-88-24, (1986).

[9] E. Van Lenthe, E. J. Baerends, J. Comp. Chem. 24, 9, 1142-1156, (2003).

[10] M. Kaupp, O. L. Malkina, V. G. Malkin and P. Pyykkö, Chem. Eur. J., 4, 118–126 (1998).

[11] M. Hyvärinen, J. Vaara, A. Goldammer, B. Kutzky, K. Hegetschweiler, M. Kaupp and M. Straka, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 131, 11909–11918, 2009.